

3. Plaintiffs duly filed a request for issuance of summons with this Court as to Defendant Open Society Foundations (“OSF”) on April 19, 2017. OSF maintains a continuous presence in this state at 224 W. 57 Street, New York, N.Y., 10019. Service was made in person through the New York Secretary of State on April 21, 2017, and by mail, return receipt requested, to OSF’s New York office on the same day. On April 26, 2017, “D. Dabady” of OSF returned receipt to Plaintiffs’ process server (ECF 10-1), and on April 28, 2017 the docket in this action was updated to reflect that “Open Society Foundations served on 4/21/2017, answer due 5/12/2017”. ECF No. 10.

4. On May 2, 2017, I had a phone conversation with counsel for Defendants where, on behalf of my client, I agreed to provide an extension of time for Defendants to respond to the Complaint in this action. During that call I asked Defendants to waive any objections to service on either George Soros or OSF, preserving any other objections or defenses they felt they had.

5. On May 8, 2017, Defendants informed me that they could not accommodate that request as to OSF, and informed my colleague that this was because OSF was not a “juridical” entity. On May 11, 2017, they declined to name a legal entity to be added to the complaint. A true and accurate copy of this email chain is attached as Ex. 6 (all exhibits are identified below). Thereafter, I sought to clarify Defendants’ position regarding OSF’s status. On May 18, 2017, I sent a letter to counsel requesting information concerning the funding of activities alleged in the Complaint and requesting that Defendants “set forth for us now why you believe OSF is not a juridical entity” (Ex. 7). Defendants never responded to the latter request.

6. On Friday, June 2, 2017, Defendants served us with their original motion to dismiss, which included a request to dismiss OSF on the basis that it “did not exist.” On

Monday, June 5, 2017, I sent Defendants a demand pursuant to Local Civil Rule 26.1 for a verified statement from Defendant setting forth OSF's status (Ex. 8).

7. Local Civil Rule 26.1 provides that responses are due within seven days after demand, in this case Monday June 12, 2017. Defendants requested and I consented to two extensions to their deadline to respond, making their response due Monday, June 19, 2017 (*see* Ex. 9). On June 19, 2017, counsel for Defendants sent me a letter (Ex. 10) purporting to respond to my letters of May 18, 2017 and June 5, 2017.

8. Concerning one of my inquiries in my May 18, 2017 letter, Defendants told me that "Foundation to Promote Open Society, based in New York and incorporated in Delaware provided such funding" to "Guinea, Revenue Watch Institute and Global Witness" during the "time period of the allegations in the complaint" (Ex. 10). The letter, however, did not provide a substantive response to my requests for information concerning OSF's status, stating "there is no basis for a request under Local Rule 26.1" (*id.*).

9. To date, we have received no substantive response.

10. The Amended Complaint added additional defendants due directly to the confusion surrounding OSF caused by Defendants' unwillingness to respond to our requests for information. In light of the confusion among the entity defendants, we have asserted fraud solely against Soros in the Amended Complaint, reserving the right to seek to add additional defendants after some discovery. We also, on behalf of Plaintiffs, offer to amend further to correct any perceived deficiencies found by the Court with respect to Plaintiffs' claims, and we hereby request leave to do so.

11. We set forth in the accompanying memorandum of law the arguments against granting any of motions to dismiss the various OSF parties, including that the motion is premature in the absence of discovery, which has been stayed.

Exhibits Attached

12. The exhibits annexed hereto are not intended to modify in any respect the legal standard on Defendants' motion to dismiss or to suggest or agree to the conversion of that motion into one for summary judgment or other summary adjudication. Exhibits 1-11 are being submitted for purposes of opposing Defendants' improper reliance on nonpleaded materials as part of their motion and to oppose the parts of the motion seeking to dismiss certain OSF entities. The final two exhibits (Exs. 12 and 13) relate to Defendants' collateral estoppel argument.

13. In connection with the motion to dismiss the OSF entities, we reference the following pages from Open Society Foundations' website:

<https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/>; <https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/how-we-work> <https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/search?q=president&type=people>; <https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/search?type=people&q=chief>

14. Attached as **Ex. 1** is a true and correct copy of Declaration of James Libson, dated August 17, 2017, previously filed in this action on August 18, 2017 (Dkt. 70).

15. Attached hereto as **Ex. 2** is a true and correct copy of the title and copyright pages of *The Philanthropy of George Soros: Building Open Societies*, together with the copyright listing for this work from the Library of Congress Copyright Catalog.

16. Attached hereto as **Ex. 3** is a true and correct copy of excerpts from "Open Society Foundations 2017 Budget", *available at*

<https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/sites/default/files/open-society-foundations-2017-budget-overview-20170202.pdf>.

17. Attached hereto as **Ex. 4** is a true and correct copy of excerpts from Open Society Foundations, U.S. Programs Board Meeting slide deck, dated December 18 and 19, 2012, which was obtained in 2017 from [soros.dcleaks.com/download/?f=/final dec board book electronic.pdf&t=us](https://soros.dcleaks.com/download/?f=/final%20dec%20board%20book%20electronic.pdf&t=us).

18. Attached hereto as **Ex. 5** is a true and correct copy of excerpts from Open Society Foundation, Inc.'s Form 990-PF for the year 2011, publicly filed with the Internal Revenue Service on March 19, 2013.

19. Attached hereto as **Ex. 6** is a true and correct copy of an email chain, including an email from Benjamin P. McCallen, Esq. to Louis M. Solomon, Esq., dated May 8, 2017, and an email from James Fitzmaurice, Esq. to Louis M. Solomon, Esq., dated May 11, 2017.

20. Attached hereto as **Ex. 7** is a true and correct copy of a letter from Louis M. Solomon, Esq. to Benjamin McCallen, Esq. and James Fitzmaurice, Esq., dated May 18, 2017.

21. Attached hereto as **Ex. 8** is a true and correct copy of a letter from Louis M. Solomon, Esq. to Benjamin McCallen, Esq. and James Fitzmaurice, Esq., dated June 5, 2017.

22. Attached hereto as **Ex. 9** is a true and correct copy of an email chain, including an email from Benjamin P. McCallen, Esq. to Louis M. Solomon, Esq., dated June 16, 2017.

23. Attached hereto as **Ex. 10** is a true and correct copy of a letter from Benjamin P. McCallen, Esq., to Louis M. Solomon, Esq., dated June 19, 2017.

24. Attached hereto as **Ex. 11** is a true and correct copy of excerpts from Open Society Policy Center's Form 990 for the year 2015, publicly filed with the Internal Revenue Service on November 8, 2016.

25. Attached hereto as **Ex. 12** is a true and correct copy of an article titled “Affaire BSGR: IST, Mamadie Touré, Issiaga Bangoura et Cie disculpés par le TPI de Kaloum” from the mosaicqueguinee.com website, together with a certified English translation thereof.

26. Attached hereto as **Ex. 13** is a true and correct copy of a judgment from The Court of First Instance of Kaloum, Conakry in Case RP No. 104/2013, titled “Jugement Correctionnel No. 046 du 30 Mars, 2020,” together with a certified English translation thereof. In connection with this judgment, Plaintiffs are submitting herewith the declaration of Guinean lawyer Mody Oumar Barry pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 44.1 and to demonstrate that Defendants did not carry their burden of showing identity of issues sufficient to preclude BSGR from litigating every single issue in this action and to show that there are at a minimum disputed issues warranting discovery.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: New York, New York
October 26, 2020

/s/ Louis M. Solomon
Louis M. Solomon